

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

GUILLERMO DE JESUS DIAS OSARIO, :

Petitioner :

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:23-0989

v. :

(JUDGE MANNION)

WARDEN BARRAZA, :

Respondent :

MEMORANDUM

Petitioner, Guillermo De Jesus Dias Osorio,¹ an inmate confined in the Federal Correctional Institution, White Deer, Pennsylvania, filed the above captioned petition for writ of habeas corpus, pursuant to [28 U.S.C. §2241](#). (Doc. [1](#), petition).

Osorio claims the Bureau of Prisons (BOP) has unlawfully denied him Earned Time Credits under the First Step Act (FSA). [Id.](#) For relief, Petitioner seeks “365 days of FSA time credit” be applied, so that he may be released. [Id.](#) A response (Doc. [8](#)) and traverse (Doc. [9](#)) having been filed, the petition

¹ Although Petitioner lists the proper spelling of his name as “Guillermo De Jesus Dias Osorio” on his petition, his sentencing documents used “Diaz” which is reflected in his BOP records. (See Doc. [8-1](#), Declaration of Jennifer Knepper at ¶3.) Despite the different spellings, the register number on Osorio’s petition and BOP records confirm that both refer to the same inmate. [Id.](#)

is ripe for disposition. For the reasons set forth below, the instant petition will be denied.

I. Background

On August 29, 2018, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York sentenced Osorio to a 130-month sentence following his conviction for International Cocaine Distribution. (Doc. [8-1](#) at 6). He has a projected release date of May 11, 2024, via good conduct time release. [Id.](#) On September 7, 2021, a final Order of Removal was issued against Osorio. (Doc. [8-1](#) at 8).

II. Discussion

Under the FSA, the Attorney General was charged with the development and release of a Risk and Needs Assessment System within 210 days of December 21, 2018, the date on which the FSA was enacted. [See 18 U.S.C. §3632](#). This System is to be used for: (1) determining an inmate's recidivism risk; (2) assessing an inmate's risk of violent or serious misconduct; (3) determining the type and amount of evidence-based recidivism reduction ("EBRR") programming appropriate for each inmate; (4) periodically assessing an inmate's recidivism risk; (5) reassigning an inmate to appropriate EBRRs and productive activities ("PA"); (6) determining when

to provide incentives and rewards for successful participation in EBRRs and PAs; and (7) determining when the inmate is ready to transfer to pre-release custody or supervised release. See id. §3632(a). Moreover, this system provides guidance on the “type, amount, and intensity of EBRR programs and PAs to be assigned to each inmate based on the inmate's specific criminogenic needs.” See Kurti v. White, No. 1:19-2019, 2020 WL 2063871, at *4 (M.D. Pa. Apr. 29, 2020)(citing 18 U.S.C. §3632(b)).

The FSA allows eligible inmates who successfully complete EBRRs or PAs to receive earned time credits to be applied toward time in pre-release custody or supervised release. See 18 U.S.C. §3632(d)(4)(A). An inmate may earn ten (10) days of credit for every thirty (30) days of successful participation. See id. Moreover, eligible inmates who have been assessed at a minimum or low risk of recidivism who do not increase their risk of recidivism over two (2) consecutive assessments may earn five (5) days of time credit for every thirty (30) days of successful participation. See id. However, an inmate is ineligible to apply ECTs if the inmate is “the subject of a final order of removal under any provision of the immigration laws.” 18 U.S.C. §3632(d)(4)(E).²

² Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §3632(d)(4)(E)(i):

Here, Respondent presented evidence that Petitioner is subject to a deportation order and that the BOP properly determined that Petitioner is not eligible for application of FSA time credits. 18 U.S.C. §3632(d)(4)(E)(i); see also Ramirez v. Sage, 2022 WL 2318693 (M.D. Pa. June 28, 2022) (denying habeas relief to inmate pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §3632(d)(4)(E) based on evidence of a deportation order). As such, the Court will deny Osorio's petition for writ of habeas corpus.

III. Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the petition for a writ of habeas corpus will be **DENIED**.

s/ Malachy E. Mannion
MALACHY E. MANNION
United States District Judge

Dated: November 1, 2023
23-0989-01

In general.—A prisoner is ineligible to apply time credits under subparagraph (C) if the prisoner is the subject of a final order of removal under any provision of the immigration laws (as such term is defined in section 101(a)(17) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(17))).

18 U.S.C. §3632(d)(4)(E)(i).